

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/719,477 11/21/2003		Lieping Chen	07039-443001	3624		
26211	7590 03/21/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			OUSPENS	OUSPENSKI, ILIA I		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1644			

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/719,47	'7	CHEN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		ILIA OUSI	PENSKI	1644				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum s e to reply within the set or extended period for reply epply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF TH s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even munication. tatutory period will apply and wi y will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION OF THE STATE	ON. It imely filed om the mailing date of this one NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on						
	-	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.					
/								
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·						
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or election red	juirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation				3			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	PTO 948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date			al Patent Application (PT	'O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/719,477 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 – 39 are pending.

2. For examination purposes the following is noted:

Claim 34 contains recitations of method using antisense oligonucleotides and methods using RNA interference. These methods differ with respect to one or more of ingredients, method steps, and/or endpoints; as such, each method is patentably distinct. Therefore, the restriction has been set forth for each method as separate groups, irrespective of the format of the claims.

It is further noted that the instant claims contain recitations of "compounds" that inhibit the binding of B7-H1 to B7-H1-specific antibodies, while the specification disclosed examples of such agents that are polypeptides, antibodies, or nucleic acids. In the event that specific types of "compounds" are introduced into the claims during prosecution, additional restriction and/or species election may be required.

Restriction Requirement

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1 10, drawn to a method of removing antibodies specific for B7-H1 from a body fluid of a subject, classified in Class 424, subclass 520.

- II. Claims 11 18, drawn to a method of treatment comprising administering to a subject compounds that inhibit the binding of B7-H1 to B7-H1-specific antibodies, classified in Class 514, subclass 1.
- III. Claims 19 24, drawn to a method of diagnosis, comprising detecting B7-H1-specific antibodies in a body fluid, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.
- IV. Claims 25 31, drawn to a method of monitoring the progress of a disease, comprising measuring the level of B7-H1-specific antibodies in a body fluid, classified in Class 436, subclass 501.
- V. Claim 32, drawn to a method of identifying compounds that inhibit binding of B7-H1 to an antibody that binds B7-H1, classified in Class 436, subclass 501.
- VI. Claim 33, drawn to a method of designing a compound that inhibits the binding of B7-H1 to an antibody that binds B7-H1, classified in Class 532, subclass 1.
- VII. Claims 34 36 and 38 39, drawn to a method of inhibiting expression of B7-H1 using an antisense oligonucleotide, classified in Class 514, subclass 44.
- VIII. Claims 34 and 37 39, drawn to a method of inhibiting expression of B7-H1 using RNA interference, classified in Class 514, subclass 44.

Groups I - VIII are different methods. The methods differ with respect to one or more of ingredients, method steps, and/or endpoints; therefore, each method is patentably distinct. Furthermore, the distinct ingredients, method steps, and/or endpoints require separate and distinct searches. As such, it would be burdensome to search these Inventions together.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,477 Page 4

Art Unit: 1644

4. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Moreover, a prior art search also requires a literature search. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Election

- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct Species of the claimed Inventions I IV, wherein the autoimmune disease is:
 - A. rheumatoid arthritis;
 - B. systemic lupus erythematosus; or
 - C. autoimmune hearing loss.

These species are distinct because the pathological conditions differ in etiologies and therapeutic endpoints; thus each condition represents patentably distinct subject matter. Furthermore, the examination of species would require different searches in the scientific literature. As such, it would be burdensome to search these Species together.

Applicant is required under 35 USC 121 to elect a single disclosed species to which the claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

6. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be

Art Unit: 1644

accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ILIA OUSPENSKI whose telephone number is 571-272-2920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ILIA OUSPENSKI, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1644

March 13, 2006

PHILLIP GAMBEL, PH.D TO PRIMARY EXAMINER

PRIMARY EXAMINED

3/13/